

AKERMAN LLP

1160 TOWN CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 330
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144
TEL.: (702) 634-5000 – FAX: (702) 380-8572

ARIEL E. STERN, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 8276
THERA A. COOPER, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 13468
AKERMAN LLP
1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330
Las Vegas, NV 89144
Telephone: (702) 634-5000
Facsimile: (702) 380-8572
Email: ariel.stern@akerman.com
Email: thera.cooper@akerman.com

*Attorneys for Bank of America, N.A. as
successor by merger to BAC Home Loans
Servicing, LP f/k/a Countrywide Home Loans
Servicing LP and Federal National Mortgage
Association*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE
ASSOCIATION; BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.
AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BAC
HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP FKA
COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS SERVICING
LP,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

COPPER CREEK HOMEOWNER'S
ASSOCIATION; HAMPTON & HAMPTON
P.C.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:16-cv-02934-RFB-PAL

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
STAY LITIGATION PENDING FINAL
RESOLUTION OF PETITION(S) FOR
WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO UNITED
STATES SUPREME COURT**

Plaintiffs Bank of America, N.A. as successor by merger to BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP
f/k/a Countrywide Home Loans Servicing LP (**BANA**) and Federal National Mortgage Association
(**Fannie Mae**), and Defendants Copper Creek Homeowners' Association (**Copper Creek**) and
Hampton & Hampton, P.C. (**Hampton**), through their counsel of record, stipulate as follows:

1. This lawsuit involves the parties seeking quiet title/declaratory relief and other claims
related to a non-judicial homeowner's association foreclosure sale conducted on a Property pursuant to
NRS 116.

1 2. On August 12, 2016, the Ninth Circuit issued its decision on appeal in *Bourne Valley*
2 *Court Tr. v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, 832 F.3d 1154, 1159-60 (9th Cir. 2016) holding that NRS 116 is
3 facially unconstitutional. The Court of Appeals issued its mandate in the appeal on December 14,
4 2016, vacating and remanding the judgment to the United States District Court, District of Nevada.

5 3. On January 26, 2017, the Nevada Supreme Court issued its decision in *Saticoy Bay*
6 *LLC Series 350 Durango 104 v. Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, a Div. of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, 133
7 Nev. Adv. Op. 5, ___ P.3d ___, 2017 WL 398426 (Nev. Jan. 26, 2017), holding, in direct contrast to
8 *Bourne Valley*, that no state action supported a challenge under the Due Process Clause of the United
9 States Constitution.

10 4. The parties in *Bourne Valley* and *Saticoy Bay* are seeking review of both decisions in
11 the United States Supreme Court. Bourne Valley's deadline to file its petition for writ of certiorari of
12 the Ninth Circuit's *Bourne Valley* decision is April 3, 2017. *See Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells*
13 *Fargo Bank, NA.*, United States Supreme Court Case No. 16A753. Wells Fargo's deadline to file its
14 petition for writ of certiorari of the Nevada Supreme Court's *Saticoy Bay* decision is April 25, 2017.
15 Thus, the parties believe that the stay requested herein is appropriate.

16 5. On February 8, 2017, the Nevada Supreme Court stayed the issuance of the remittitur in
17 *Saticoy Bay* pending the filing of a petition for a writ of certiorari with the United States Supreme
18 Court, and if a petition is filed, the stay of the remittitur will remain in effect until final disposition of
19 the certiorari proceedings before the United States Supreme Court.

20 6. Since then, several judges in this district have stayed similar cases pending the
21 exhaustion of all appeals before the United States Supreme Court. *E.g.*, *Nationstar Mtg. LLC v. Green*
22 *Valley S. Owners Assoc.*, No. 2:16-cv-00883-GMN-GWF; *Bank of America, N.A. v. Canyon Willow*
23 *Trop Owners' Assoc.*, No. 2:16-cv-01327-GMN-VCF (D. Nev. Oct. 26, 2016); *Deutsche Bank Nat'l*
24 *Trust Co. v. Copper Sands HOA*, No. 2:16-cv-00763-JAD-CWH (D. Nev. Feb. 28, 2017).

25 7. To determine if a continued stay is appropriate, the Court considers (1) damage from
26 the stay; (2) hardship or inequity that befalls one party more than the other; and (3) the orderly course
27 of justice. *See Dependable Highway Exp., Inc. v. Navigators Ins. Co.*, 498 F.3d 1059, 1066 (9th Cir.
28 2007) (setting forth factors). Here, the factors support a stay of litigation.

1 a. Damage from Stay: Any damage from a temporary stay in this case will be minimal if
2 balanced against the potential fees, costs, and time which would surely ensue in this matter if litigation
3 were allowed to continue that could be mooted by a decision in *Bourne Valley* certiorari proceedings.
4 Indeed, the parties will be enable to avoid the cost and expense of continued legal proceedings in light
5 of what is unsettled law to say the least. Moreover, the Court will be relieved of expending further
6 time and effort until the conflict between the circuit and Nevada Supreme Court is resolved. Thus, a
7 stay will benefit all parties involved herein.

8 b. Hardship or Inequity: There will be no significant hardship or inequity that befalls one
9 party more than the other. This relatively equal balance of equities results from the need for all parties
10 to have finality, given the split in the state and federal court decisions. The parties agree that any
11 hardship or inequity falling on any of them is outweighed by the benefits of a stay.

12 c. Orderly Course of Justice: At the center of this case is a homeowners' association's
13 foreclosure sale under NRS 116. The outcome of the petitions for writ in *Bourne Valley* and/or
14 *Saticoy Bay* have the potential to affirm or overturn either case. Without a stay, the parties will
15 expend resources that will be unnecessary if either or both petitions are granted. A stay would also
16 avoid a likely appeal from any subsequent judgment in this case. A temporary stay would
17 substantially promote the orderly course of justice in this case. A stay will avoid the moving forward
18 without final resolution of the federal issues and the state court/federal court conflict.

19 8. The parties agree that all proceedings in the instant case, including motion and other
20 litigation deadlines, are stayed pending final resolution of the *Bourne Valley* and/or *Saticoy Bay*
21 certiorari proceedings before the United States Supreme Court.

22 9. Defendant Copper Creek shall be required to keep current on all property taxes and
23 assessments, HOA dues, maintain the property, and maintain insurance on the property at issue.

24 10. Defendant Copper Creek shall be prohibited from selling or encumbering the property
25 unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

26 11. Plaintiff BANA is prohibited from conducting foreclosure proceedings on the property
27 unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

28 ///

12. Any party may file a written motion to lift stay at any time for either party determines it appropriate.

Dated this 15th day of March, 2017.

Dated this 15th day of March, 2017.

AKERMAN LLP

HAMPTON & HAMPTON, P.C.

/s/ Thera A. Cooper

/s/ Jay Hampton

ARIEL E. STERN, ESQ.

MILES HAMPTON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 8276

Nevada Bar No. 5350

THERA A. COOPER, ESQ.

JAY HAMPTON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 13468

Nevada Bar No. 9050

1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330

8965 S. Pecos Road

Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Henderson, NV 89074

*Attorneys for Bank of America, N.A. as successor by
merger to BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP f/k/a
Countrywide Home Loans Servicing LP and Federal
National Mortgage Association*

Attorneys for Hampton & Hampton P.C.

Dated this 15th day of March, 2017.

LIPSON, NEILSON, COLE, SELTZER & GARIN, P.C.

/s/ Amber m. Williams

KALEB D. ANDERSON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 7582

AMBER M. WILLIAMS, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 12301

9900 Covington Cross Dr.

Suite 120

Las Vegas, NV 89144

Attorneys for Copper Creek Homeowners' Association

IT IS SO ORDERED.



RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
United States District Judge

DATED: March 22, 2017.

AKERMAN LLP

1160 TOWN CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 330
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144
TEL.: (702) 634-5000 – FAX: (702) 380-8572